Starch: Its Metabolism, Evolution, and Biotechnological Modification in Plants

Samuel C. Zeeman,¹ Jens Kossmann,² and Alison M. Smith³

¹Department of Biology, ETH Zurich, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland; email: szeeman@ethz.ch

²Institute of Plant Biotechnology, Department of Genetics, University of Stellenbosch, ZA-7602 Matieland, South Africa; email: kossmann@sun.ac.za

³Department of Metabolic Biology, John Innes Center, Norwich NR4 7UH, United Kingdom; email: alison.smith@bbsrc.ac.uk

Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2010. 61:209-34

First published online as a Review in Advance on February 1, 2010

The Annual Review of Plant Biology is online at plant.annualreviews.org

This article's doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112301

Copyright © 2010 by Annual Reviews. All rights reserved

1543-5008/10/0602-0209\$20.00

Key Words

amylopectin, reversible glucan phosphorylation, glycogen, *Arabidopsis*, potato, bioethanol

Abstract

Starch is the most widespread and abundant storage carbohydrate in plants. We depend upon starch for our nutrition, exploit its unique properties in industry, and use it as a feedstock for bioethanol production. Here, we review recent advances in research in three key areas. First, we assess progress in identifying the enzymatic machinery required for the synthesis of amylopectin, the glucose polymer responsible for the insoluble nature of starch. Second, we discuss the pathways of starch degradation, focusing on the emerging role of transient glucan phosphorylation in plastids as a mechanism for solubilizing the surface of the starch granule. We contrast this pathway in leaves with the degradation of starch in the endosperm of germinated cereal seeds. Third, we consider the evolution of starch biosynthesis in plants from the ancestral ability to make glycogen. Finally, we discuss how this basic knowledge has been utilized to improve and diversify starch crops.

Contents

INTRODUCTION	210
Starch Structure	211
THE MECHANISM OF STARCH	
GRANULE BIOSYNTHESIS	212
Amylopectin Synthesis	212
Debranching Enzyme Function in	
Amylopectin Synthesis	213
Protein Complex Formation	
Between Starch-Synthesizing	
Enzymes	214
Amylose Synthesis	215
The Initiation and Control of	
Granule Formation	216
STARCH DEGRADATION	217
The Pathways of Starch Degradation	
in Chloroplasts	217
Reversible Glucan	
Phosphorylation	220
Differences in Starch Degradation	
Between Leaves and Cereal	
Endosperm	221
EVOLUTION OF STARCH	
BIOSYNTHESIS	222
BIOTECHNOLOGICAL	
MODIFICATION OF STARCH	
METABOLISM	223
Biotechnological Approaches to	
Increase Starch Biosynthesis	224
Manipulation of Starch Breakdown	
to Enhance Crop Quality	224
Manipulation of Starch Structure	
to Diversify Its Uses	225
Outlook for the Development of	
Crops with Altered Starch	
Metabolism	226

INTRODUCTION

Starch is an insoluble glucan composed of two polymers of glucose, amylopectin and amylose. In higher plants, starch is synthesized in plastids in both photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic cells. As the principal storage carbohydrate, starch plays important roles during the life cycle of the plant. In leaves, a fraction of the carbon assimilated through photosynthesis is retained in the chloroplasts as starch rather than being converted to sucrose for export to the sites of growth. This transitory starch is degraded at night to provide substrates for leaf respiration and for continued sucrose synthesis for export to the rest of the plant. The supply of carbon from starch can be vital for normal plant growth. Mutant Arabidopsis plants that cannot synthesize starch, or cannot degrade it at night, have reduced growth rates under most conditions (129). In nonphotosynthetic organs (e.g., stems, roots, tubers, and seeds), sucrose may be converted to starch for longer-term storage, often to high levels, in specialized plastids termed amyloplasts. This storage starch is remobilized to support phases of growth (e.g., seedling establishment after germination; 39) or to meet locally high demand for carbon for specific processes (e.g., nectar secretion; 109). Starch also accumulates transiently in certain cell types at specific developmental stages. For example, it is often present in tissues behind the division zones of meristems, possibly reflecting temporary imbalances between carbon import and utilization as cells move from division to expansion and differentiation (68).

The harvested parts of our staple crop plants are starch-storing organs. Cereal seeds (rice, maize, wheat, barley, sorghum, and others) are the most important group, followed by tubers (e.g., potato, sweet potato, yam) and storage roots (e.g., cassava, taro), and seeds of beans and peas. Much of our agricultural land is devoted to their growth. Most of the estimated 2500 million tonnes of starch crops harvested annually (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, values for 2007; http://faostat.fao.org) is consumed directly as food or used as animal feed, but there is increasing demand from nonfood industries for starch as a renewable raw material. In particular, starch is a major feedstock for first-generation biofuels due to the relative ease with which it can be converted to fermentable sugars (128). Understanding the pathways by which starch is synthesized and degraded in plants will facilitate the improvement of starch crops for both

Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2010.61:209-234. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by b-on: Universidade de Lisboa (UL) on 05/21/15. For personal use only. food and nonfood uses. It is already clear that studies of model plants alone will not provide adequate information for this purpose. There are differences between species, and between leaves, roots, and seeds, in the factors controlling starch metabolism, the structure of starch itself, and the pathways by which it is degraded.

Starch Structure

Amylopectin and amylose together form semicrystalline, insoluble granules with an

internal lamellar structure (**Figure 1**) (11). Amylopectin is the major component, typically making up 75% or more of the starch granule. A large, branched molecule, amylopectin has an estimated molecular weight of between 10^7 and 10^9 Daltons (11). The glucosyl residues of amylopectin are linked by α -1,4-bonds to form chains of between 6 and >100 glucosyl residues in length. The α -1,4-linked chains are connected by α -1,6-bonds (branch points). Amylopectin is responsible for the granular nature of starch. Although its exact molecular



Internal growth-ring structure of a starch granule (adjusted composite image)

Figure 1

The composition and structure of starch granules. (*a*) A schematic representation of amylose and amylopectin, and the structures adopted by the constituent chains. (*b*) The relationship between the starch granule (composite image of potato granules, *left*) and amylopectin structure. Crystalline and amorphous lamellae arrange to form blocklets (*not shown*; *see text*) that make up the growth rings.

Crystalline lamellae: the alternating crystalline and

crystalline and amorphous lamellae of amylopectin have been likened to a side-chain, liquid-crystalline polymer (157)

architecture is not known, the combination of chain lengths, branching frequency, and branching pattern gives rise to a racemose, or treelike, structure in which clusters of chains occur at regular intervals along the axis of the molecule. Typically, chains within these clusters average between 12 and 15 glucosyl residues. The less abundant chains that span two clusters contain approximately 35-40 residues, while those that span three clusters contain approximately 70-80 residues (11, 59). Within the starch granule, amylopectin molecules are radially organized such that the free (nonreducing) ends of the chains point toward the periphery. Pairs of adjacent chains within clusters form double helices that pack together in organized arrays, giving rise to concentric, crystalline layers (lamellae) within the granule. These lamellae alternate with amorphous lamellae formed by the regions of the amylopectin molecule that contain the branch points. The lamellar organization is repeated with a 9- to 10-nm periodicity. This semicrystalline structure makes up the bulk of the matrix of the starch granule and is highly conserved in higher-plant starches (Figure 1) (65, 173). It seems possible that the organization of amylopectin to form the granule matrix is largely a physical process.

Higher-order structures also exist in starch granules (Figure 1). Most granules contain concentric "growth rings" that are visible by light microscopy and by scanning electron microscopy after etching the granule matrix with acids or hydrolytic enzymes (14, 104, 173). These rings have periodicities of several hundreds of nanometers. They are thought to reflect the organization of the alternating crystalline/amorphous lamellae into near-spherical blocklets, which vary periodically in diameter (between 20 and 500 nm) as the granule is laid down (49, 111). Based on electron optical tomography and cryo-electron diffraction experiments, it has also been proposed that 18nm-wide, left-handed superhelices form from the double helices that comprise the crystalline lamellae (102). The relationship between these proposed structures and the blocklets has not been fully resolved.

Amylopectin is chemically similar to glycogen, the soluble polyglucan accumulated as a storage compound in animals, fungi, and bacteria. Glycogen is also a glucose polymer composed of α -1,4-linked, α -1,6-branched chains. However, glycogen has more branch points than amylopectin and these are arranged in a uniform rather than discontinuous pattern. Glycogen particles are limited in size (typically only 20-60 nm in diameter) (160) due to steric interference between branches as the diameter increases. Importantly, the branching pattern of glycogen does not allow the formation of the secondary and higher-order glucan structures that make up the matrix of the starch granule. The ability of plants to synthesize amylopectin has evolved from an ancestral capacity to make glycogen (see below and 5, 32, 103). Amylose, the second glucan component of starch, is smaller than amylopectin (relative molecular weight estimates vary between 105 and 106 Daltons) and only lightly branched (11). It is believed to exist primarily in an unorganized form within amorphous regions of the granule.

A significant number of enzymes involved in the metabolism of starch have been identified through studies of crop and model species. The proteins involved in starch metabolism in *Arabidopsis* have been tabulated previously (130). The subsequent sections describe the roles of these enzymes in the assembly and disassembly of the starch granule.

THE MECHANISM OF STARCH GRANULE BIOSYNTHESIS

Amylopectin Synthesis

The substrate for starch biosynthesis in higher plants is ADPglucose. The glucosyl moiety is transferred onto existing glucan chains by starch synthases (ADP-glucose: $[1\rightarrow 4]-\alpha$ -D-glucan 4- α -D-glucosyltransferase; EC: 2.4.1.21). Higher-plant starch synthases are encoded by five gene classes, designated GBSS (for granule-bound starch synthase), SSI, SSII, SSIII, and SSIV. Phylogenetic analyses separate the GBSS, SSI, and SSII classes from the SSIII and SSIV classes (5, 81, 103). GBSS binds tightly to the starch granule and is responsible for amylose synthesis (see below). The other SS isoforms (often termed soluble SS) generate the chains in amylopectin and are either soluble in the plastid stroma, or part soluble and part associated with the granule. Genetic and biochemical data indicate that each SS isoform has different properties and a distinct role in amylopectin synthesis. Analysis of the distribution of chain lengths of amylopectin in mutant and transgenic plants lacking specific isoforms has led to the idea that the SSI, SSII, and SSIII classes preferentially elongate short, medium, and long chains, respectively (see 150 and references therein).

The branching of amylopectin proceeds concurrently with chain elongation (99). Branching is catalyzed by branching enzymes (BE; α -1,4-glucan: α -1,4-glucan-6glycosyltransferase; EC: 2.4.1.18), which cut existing α -1,4-glucan chains and transfer the cut segment of six or more glucose units to the C6 position of a glucosyl residue of another (or the same) glucan chain. Higher-plant BEs fall into two classes, designated class I and class II (sometimes defined as B and A, respectively). Class I enzymes preferentially transfer longer chains than class II enzymes (see 150 and references therein). Genetic analysis shows that the two classes of BE make distinct contributions to the synthesis of amylopectin (150).

The evolution of multiple, specialized isoforms of SS and BE can be seen as a crucial factor in determining the architecture of amylopectin and therefore the capacity to synthesize starch rather than glycogen. However, the relative levels of SS and BE isoforms differ between starch-synthesizing organs. For example, in potato tubers SSIII accounts for about 80% of soluble starch synthase activity (90), in pea embryos about 60% of the activity is accounted for by SSII (30), and in maize endosperm about 60% is accounted for by SSI (16). These sorts of differences probably contribute to the observed variation in the structure of starches from different organs and species.

Debranching Enzyme Function in Amylopectin Synthesis

Besides SS and BEs, other glucan-modifying enzymes participate in the starch biosynthetic process. Debranching enzymes (DBEs; α -1,6glucanohydrolase), which cleave branch points, are important determinants of the structure of amylopectin. This is evident from the dramatic changes in glucan structure and the form in which glucan accumulates in plants that lack specific DBE isoforms.

Plants contain two types of DBEsisoamylase (ISA; EC: 3.2.1.68) and limitdextrinase (LDA, also called pullulanase; EC: 3.2.1.142)—distinguishable by their amino acid sequences and substrate specificities. The ISA type has three classes, designated as ISA1, ISA2, and ISA3 (61). ISA1 and ISA2 are strongly implicated in amylopectin synthesis. In potato tubers and Arabidopsis leaves, ISA1 forms a complex with ISA2 resulting in a heteromultimeric enzyme (26, 61, 162). In the endosperm of rice, and probably other cereals, ISA1 exists both as a homomultimer and as a heteromultimer with ISA2 (152). The primary role of LDA and ISA3 appears to be in starch degradation (see below). ISA1 is most active on glucan substrates with relatively long external chains, such as solubilized amylopectin, whereas LDA and ISA3 have high activities on glucans with short external chains (such as β -limit dextrins). ISA2 appears to be catalytically inactive (61). It probably modulates the action or stability of ISA1, rather than contributing directly to debranching (26, 162).

In mutant or transgenic plants deficient in ISA1, granular starch is reduced, or even absent, and is partly replaced by water-soluble glucans. This has been observed in developing cereal endosperms (12, 63, 97), green algae (24, 94), *Arabidopsis* leaves (26, 162), and potato tubers (13). In *Arabidopsis* and potato, loss of ISA2 has the same effect as loss of ISA1 (13, 26, 162, 178). The major soluble glucan is a glycogen-like polymer termed phytoglycogen. Phytoglycogen has a higher proportion of short chains (fewer than 10 glucosyl

β-limit dextrin:

a branched glucan digested with exo-acting β -amylase. External chains become stubs of two or three glucose residues. Internal chains are not degraded α-amylase: an

endoamylase that hydrolyzes α -1,4-bonds. Higher plants contain three classes: AMY1, AMY2, and AMY3 (134). AMY3 has a chloroplast transit peptide; AMY1 and AMY2 function in *Arabidopsis* is unknown

residues) and more branch points than amylopectin. The average distance between branch points is less than for amylopectin. As for glycogen, it is presumed that the branching structure of phytoglycogen prevents the formation of the higher-order structures seen in starch granules. Phytoglycogen accumulation is interpreted to mean that "wrongly positioned" branch points introduced by BEs are normally hydrolyzed through selective debranching. This would liberate the misplaced branches as free oligosaccharides, leaving mature amylopectin capable of self-organizing to form the matrix of the starch granule (for earlier reviews, see 4, 95, 96, 176). If the misplaced branches remain, the glucan cannot self-organize to form a granule and instead accumulates as phytoglycogen.

Other enzymes strongly influence whether glucans accumulate as insoluble granules or as soluble phytoglycogen in *isa1* mutants. Most isa1 mutants still synthesize some starch granules, either alongside phytoglycogen accumulation in the same plastids (178), in different plastids in the same cell (12), or in different cell types of the same plant organ (26, 97). One explanation may be redundancy between DBEs, whereby debranching via ISA3 and/or LDA partially substitutes for the absence of ISA1. Maize and rice mutants with mild isa1 alleles accumulate more phytoglycogen when LDA is also missing (35, 46), providing some support for this idea. However, the complement of other starch-synthesizing enzymes in the cell can also have a profound influence on the phenotype of *isa1* mutants. In maize, mutants that lack either GBSS or SSIII in addition to ISA1 (wx su1 and du su1 mutants, respectively) make 25-30% less phytoglycogen in developing endosperm than do mutants that lack only ISA1, and mutants that lack one branching enzyme isoform of the BEII class as well as ISA1 (ae su1 mutants) make little or no phytoglycogen (3, 8).

Recent studies of *Arabidopsis* have provided new insight into the complexity of phytoglycogen accumulation. Mutants lacking all DBE activity (i.e., *isa1isa2isa3lda* quadruple mutants) do not have recognizable starch granules (136, 163). This result is superficially consistent with the idea that DBE activity is absolutely required for starch biosynthesis. However, further biochemical and genetic analyses reveal that the complete loss of starch in this mutant is the result of modification and turnover of branched glucans by starch-degrading enzymes rather than loss of DBE activity per se. Evidence for this is as follows. First, phytoglycogen extracted from the DBE-free mutant is rich in extremely short chains (fewer than six glucosyl residues) (136, 163). Such short chains are highly unlikely to be produced simply by the actions of SSs and BEs: Their presence is consistent with the action on a branched glucan of α -amylase (α -1,4-glucan glucanohydrolase; EC: 3.2.1.1) and β -amylases, enzymes that hydrolyze linear α -1,4-linked chains during normal starch degradation. Second, the DBE-free mutant accumulates small branched malto-oligosaccharides and maltose in addition to phytoglycogen. These are products of the actions of α - and β -amylases on branched glucans (136). Third, loss of the chloroplastic α -amylase, AMY3, from the DBE-free mutant partially restores starch granule biosynthesis. This implies that the branched glucan produced by SSs and BEs in the DBE-free mutant is actually capable of crystallizing to produce granules, but its partial hydrolysis by AMY3 destroys this property (136). These studies confirm that although DBEs are important in starch biosynthesis, they are not strictly necessary for starch granule formation to occur. Thus, ISA1 activity facilitates crystallization by removing wrongly positioned branches. In its absence crystallization is delayed, allowing the aberrantly branched glucans to be modified by enzymes that do not normally participate in starch biosynthesis (e.g., AMY3), such that they can no longer crystallize (136).

Protein Complex Formation Between Starch-Synthesizing Enzymes

There is increasing evidence for the occurrence in starch-storing organs of multienzyme complexes comprising SSs, BEs, and other enzymes. The activities of the amylopectin biosynthetic enzymes must be interdependent because they act on a common glucan substrate. Physical association could serve to orchestrate the activities and thus allow the formation of specific glucan structures. Complexes containing both classes of BE (148) and complexes containing SSI, SSII, and class II BEs (147) have been found in wheat. Complexes containing combinations of SSII, SSIII, and class II BEs have been found in maize (57, 58). No complexes containing DBEs have been reported.

The stability of SS/BE enzyme complexes is dependent on the phosphorylation of some or all of the constituent proteins (147). Dephosphorylation in vitro by a nonspecific phosphatase resulted in the dissociation of the complexes (57, 147, 148) and also in the inactivation of a wheat BEII isoform (148). The protein kinases and phosphatases responsible for phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of the starch-synthesizing enzymes in vivo, the extent to which the enzymes are present as complexes, and the number of distinct types of complex remain to be established. The functional significance of complex formation is currently unclear. There is indirect in vitro evidence that enzymes in complexes have a higher affinity for their glucan substrates than the same enzymes as monomers (147), but there is no direct evidence that complex formation influences either the rate of starch accumulation or the structure of glucans synthesized in vivo. It is also not known whether protein complex formation is a general feature in starch biosynthesis. Complexes similar to those in the developing wheat endosperm were not found in starch-synthesizing wheat leaves (148).

Additional enzymes are present in protein complexes containing SS and BE in cereal endosperm (57, 148). These include subunits of ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase, sucrose synthase, pyruvate, phosphate dikinase, and α -glucan phosphorylase. The discovery of α -glucan phosphorylase in a complex is interesting given new information about a possible role for this enzyme in starch biosynthesis (see below).

Despite the progress in identifying the enzymes of amylopectin synthesis, it is frustrating that there is still no detailed understanding of exactly what glucan structure SSs and BEs produce, how DBEs selectively debranch this nascent glucan, and how the actions of these three enzymes are coordinated to give rise to an amylopectin molecule that selforganizes to form a starch granule. In part, this problem arises from the technical difficulties associated with the determination of amylopectin structure and the isolation of nascent glucans from starch-synthesizing plastids (see 176 and the discussion therein). Its solution will require concerted efforts in the development of new analytical methods and molecular modeling techniques.

Amylose Synthesis

The amylose component of starch is synthesized by GBSS. Mutants and transgenic plants lacking this enzyme are essentially amylose free (see 29 and references therein). Amylose-free starch granules are normal in appearance, illustrating that only amylopectin is necessary for granule formation. GBSS differs from the other SS isoforms in its exclusive localization to the granule and in its mode of action. Unlike other starch synthase isoforms, GBSS transfers glucosyl residues from ADP-glucose to its glucan substrate processively, generating long chains (31). This occurs within the semicrystalline matrix formed by amylopectin (146), explaining why the newly formed amylose chains are not acted upon by stromal BEs. GBSS can use soluble malto-oligosaccharides as substrates for amylose production (28, 174). It can also act on the existing side chains of amylopectin (154) and contribute to the formation of long chains in amylopectin (47, 53, 161). It has been proposed that, in evolutionary terms, this may have been its original function (107). Amylose synthesis may render starch denser and improve the efficiency of carbon storage, explaining the conservation of GBSS in higher plants.

α-glucan phosphorylase:

catalyzes reversible phosphorolysis at the nonreducing end of glucan chains. consuming orthophosphate and releasing glucose-1-phosphate (Glc-1-P). Higher plants contain plastidial and cytosolic classes (PHS1, PHS2 in Arabidopsis. respectively; 177). Cytosolic phosphorylase is probably involved in heteroglycan metabolism (38)

The Initiation and Control of Granule Formation

The initiation of starch granules and the mechanisms that determine granule size, number, and morphology are still not well understood. These factors are under genetic control and are highly species and organ dependent (64). In higher plants, there are usually multiple starch granules per plastid. Amyloplasts in heterotrophic tissues can contain either a few large granules (e.g., in potato tuber) or many small granules (e.g., in rice endosperm) that may fuse to form compound granules (e.g., in oat endosperm). In wheat endosperm, two successive rounds of granule initiation result in large lenticular A granules, initiated early in development, and small spherical B granules initiated late in development (124).

In mammals and in yeast, glycogen molecules are primed by the protein glycogenin, which glucosylates one of its own tyrosine residues (self-glucosylation) to create a chain of a few glucose residues (85). The chain is extended and elaborated by glycogen synthases (GSs) and glycogen branching enzymes. Glycogenin-like proteins are found in plants (19), as are other reversibly glucosylated proteins (RGPs; 127). Only one report has provided evidence of a role for these proteins in starch granule initiation; Arabidopsis plants in which expression of a glycogenin-like protein was repressed by RNAi had reduced starch, as judged by iodine staining (19). This observation was not substantiated with quantitative measurements or microscopic investigations. Studies of RGPs have concluded that they are cell-wall proteins preferentially localized to plasmodesmata, rather than being involved in starch metabolism (118).

Recent genetic evidence suggests that the SSIV class of starch synthase may have a role in granule initiation. *Arabidopsis* leaf chloroplasts contain about five starch granules. However, *Arabidopsis ssiv* mutants have just one large granule in each chloroplast (114). SSIV proteins differ from the other SS isoforms in possessing an N-terminal extension with a pair of coiled-coil domains and a putative 14-3-3 protein binding site (81). It is possible that features of the N-terminal extension enable SSIV to interact with other proteins and thus contribute to granule initiation. In the absence of SSIV, SSIII (the glucosyl transferase domain of which is most closely related to SSIV) seems to be responsible for the initiation of the single granule per chloroplast: Plants lacking both SSIV and SSIII lack starch in their leaves despite having 60% of the wild-type soluble SS activity (accounted for by the remaining SS isoforms; 140). SSIII also possesses a conserved 14-3-3 binding site (at its C-terminus; 123), and it can interact with other starch synthase and branching enzymes in a phosphorylation-dependent manner (see above). In Agrobacterium tumefaciens, GS itself has been proposed to prime glycogen synthesis through the formation of a glucan-GS intermediate (151). No SSs have been shown to have such a self-priming activity, although Szydlowski et al. (140) report that SSIII is able to synthesize glucans from ADP-glucose in a primer-independent way. Further work will be required to determine the precise roles of SSIV and SSIII in granule initiation.

Recently there has been renewed interest in the potential biosynthetic role of the plastidial α -glucan phosphorylase (E.C. 2.4.1.1), which can extend glucan chains using glucose-1-phosphate (Glc-1-P) as a substrate (releasing orthophosphate). This reaction is reversible and it has been assumed that the enzyme probably acts in the phosphorolytic direction (releasing Glc-1-P from glucan chains) due to the relatively high ratio of orthophosphate to Glc-1-P in plant cells (77). However, genetic studies in different species have yielded mixed results. Gene silencing in potato and mutation in Arabidopsis does not alter starch levels. In contrast, mutants of Chlamydomonas and of rice have conditional altered starch phenotypes (23, 119). Chlamydomonas has two plastidial phosphorylases (PhoA and PhoB), which have different properties. The sta4 mutant lacks the PhoB isoform and has decreased starch contents under nitrogen-limited growth conditions (in which

starch normally accumulates to high levels; 23). In the rice mutant (lacking the single plastidial isoform, PHO1), starch content is normal when the seeds develop at 30°C but is decreased relative to controls at 20° C (119). The explanations offered for these phenotypes differ. Dauvillée et al. (23) observed that PhoB is very strongly inhibited by ADP-glucose so could well be inactive during starch biosynthesis. Accordingly, they proposed that the effect of loss of PhoB on starch accumulation was indirect, perhaps relating to potential interactions of the protein with other starch biosynthetic enzymes (e.g., with BEs, as in wheat endosperm; 148). In contrast, Satoh et al. (119) proposed that the rice endosperm PHO1 may synthesize linear glucans that serve as primers for new amylopectin and amylose molecules. To explain the temperature dependency of the mutant phenotype, they suggested that other factors must also be involved (potentially SS isoforms; see above). It is plausible that the role of phosphorylase differs between species and tissues, and further investigations of phosphorylase function are necessary.

Granule numbers are increased in ISA1deficient plants of several species (12, 13, 178) and different explanations have been proposed for this phenomenon (12, 13). In *Arabidopsis*, a likely explanation is that small, soluble glucans resulting from the amylolytic turnover of aberrant glucans formed in the absence of ISA1 provide primers for granule initiation: Thus the effect of loss of ISA1 on granule number is probably indirect (136). In general, there is little reason to suppose that ISA1 normally controls granule number.

An intriguing study of *Ostreococcus tauri*, a single-celled green alga, resulted in the proposal that starch granules multiply by division in this species (108). *O. tauri* cells have a single chloroplast that contains one starch granule. Electron micrograph images suggest that during cell division the granule divides, with half retained in the chloroplast of each daughter cell. If this is indeed what happens, our current understanding of starch structure and metabolism

is clearly insufficient to explain how a starch granule can be split in this way.

STARCH DEGRADATION

There has been rapid progress recently in understanding starch degradation (reviewed in 38, 82, 130, 171, 175, 176). It is clear that pathways of degradation differ between plant organs, and that distinct pathways may operate within the same organ. Starch degradation is best understood in leaves, where transitory starch is degraded at night, and in cereal endosperms where storage starch is broken down over several days after seed germination. The pathways in these two organs share some components but also have major differences. Our understanding of the pathway in other starch-containing tissues such as tubers, roots, and noncereal seeds is more fragmentary (130).

The Pathways of Starch Degradation in Chloroplasts

Transitory starch in leaves is degraded primarily by hydrolysis of the constituent glucans to maltose and glucose, both of which can be exported from the chloroplast and metabolized in the cytosol (**Figure 2**) (101, 164, 165). Mutations affecting key degradative enzymes decrease starch breakdown, resulting in the accumulation of starch over repeated diurnal cycles (so-called starch-excess or *sex* phenotypes; 15). There is good evidence that starch degradation is dependent on the reversible phosphorylation of glucans at the surface of the starch granule, which serves to solubilize the granule surface, thus allowing hydrolases access to the glucan chains.

Hydrolysis of the linear chains is catalyzed primarily by β -amylases (α -1,4-glucan maltohydrolase; EC: 3.2.1.2), exo-acting enzymes that release maltose from exposed nonreducing ends of chains. β -Amylases cannot hydrolyze α -1,6-branch points or act immediately adjacent to them. Thus, the complete degradation of amylopectin also requires hydrolysis of



Figure 2

The pathway of starch degradation in chloroplasts and the role of transient glucan phosphorylation. Maltose and malto-oligosaccharides are released from the surface of the starch granule during degradation. Maltooligosaccharides are metabolized in the stroma. Maltose and glucose are exported to the cytosol. Estimated fluxes are indicated by relative arrow size. Dashed arrows represent the minor steps in *Arabidopsis* (*see text*). Inset is a model depicting the role of phosphorylation by GWD and PWD in disrupting the packing of amylopectin double helices (*gray boxes*). This allows the release of maltose and malto-oligosaccharides (*black lines*) by β -amylases (BAMs) and DBE (ISA3). Phosphate (*red dots*) is concomitantly released by SEX4 to allow complete degradation.

branch points by DBE activity. Higher-plant genomes encode large numbers of β -amylaselike proteins. *Arabidopsis*, for example, has nine β -amylase genes (*BAM1–9*). The β -amylase family can be divided into four distinct classes and higher plants contain one or more genes of each class (48). The importance of chloroplastic β -amylase activity for transitory starch degradation was established by experiments with transgenic potatoes, in which repression of a chloroplastic isoform led to a *sex* phenotype (120). This finding has been confirmed and extended in *Arabidopsis* (48, 70). Four of the nine *Arabidopsis* BAM proteins (BAMs 1–4) have been shown to be chloroplastic (48). BAM1 and BAM3 are active enzymes and partially redundant: *bam1* and *bam3* mutants have a wildtype and a mild *sex* phenotype, respectively, but the *bam1bam3* double mutant has a strong *sex* phenotype (48, 70). No function has yet been ascribed to BAM2. The recombinant BAM2 protein has a very low specific activity compared with BAM1 and BAM3, and *bam2* mutants are indistinguishable from wild-type plants (48).

BAM4 is unusual because its putative active site has multiple substitutions of amino acid residues that are strictly conserved in other, active β -amylases, and the recombinant protein does not have β -amylase activity (48). Other members of this class of BAMs, including Arabidopsis BAM9 and proteins from other species have similar substitutions in their putative active sites (48), and recombinant BAM9 has no enzymatic activity (S. K. Lee and S. C. Zeeman, unpublished data). Although BAM4 is apparently noncatalytic, the bam4 mutant has a sex phenotype, indicating that it is required for starch degradation. Genetic analysis shows that BAM4 does not act simply by modulating the activity of the active β -amylases, as its loss in the bam1bam3 double mutant background enhances the sex phenotype (48). One possible explanation for the requirement for BAM4 is that it senses maltose levels and transduces this information to modulate the starch degradation rate by interaction with other starch-degrading enzymes. Further work is needed to test this hypothesis.

Several isoforms of BAM lack discernable plastid transit peptides. If they are indeed outside the plastid, they are unlikely to be involved in starch degradation. A high proportion of the β -amylase activity in *Arabidopsis* leaves is contributed by one such isoform, BAM5 (also called RAM1), which has been shown to be present within phloem sieve elements (158). Studies of the *bam5* mutant failed to discern a starchrelated phenotype (78) and its function remains unclear.

DBEs release short, linear malto-oligosaccharides into the plastid stroma (27, 171). Two DBEs (ISA3 and LDA) function in starch degradation in *Arabidopsis* leaves. Both enzymes preferentially remove short branches and have

their highest activity on β -limit dextrins. They differ in the range of branch point configurations that they are able to degrade, as illustrated by the ability of LDA-type, but not ISA-type, to degrade the fungal glucan pullulan (27, 61, 143, 168). There is functional overlap between ISA3 and LDA. Loss of LDA alone has no effect on starch metabolism in Arabidopsis leaves, whereas loss of ISA3 leads to a sex phenotype (27, 162). Loss of both enzymes together (the isa3lda double mutant), however, results in a phenotype more severe than that of isa3 (27). The relative importance of ISA3 and LDA in leaf starch degradation may differ between species. Unlike Arabidopsis, maize mutants lacking LDA alone (Zmpu1mutants) have reduced rates of starch degradation in leaves at night (35).

The shortest malto-oligosaccharide on which β -amylase can act is maltotetraose. Maltotriose formed during the degradation of starch is metabolized by disproportionating enzyme (D-enzyme; α -1,4-glucan 4- α glucanotransferase; EC: 2.4.1.25), which transfers a maltosyl group from maltotriose to another glucan, generating glucose and a longer glucan that can be further degraded by β-amylase. This activity is necessary for normal starch degradation in Arabidopsis leaves: In mutants lacking D-enzyme (dpe1 mutants: 22), the rate of starch degradation is reduced and maltotriose accumulates in leaves at night. Two additional enzymes, plastidial α -amylase and plastidial α -glucan phosphorylase (see above), are widely conserved in plants and may participate in starch degradation. In Arabidopsis, mutations resulting in loss of activity (the amy3 and *phs1* mutants, respectively) do not result in sex phenotypes under normal laboratory conditions (170, 177). However, AMY3 does participate in starch degradation in Arabidopsis plants in which other starch-degrading enzymes are missing. For example, in mutants lacking the two DBEs that participate in starch degradation (the isa3lda double mutant), AMY3 is induced and is responsible for releasing branched malto-oligosaccharides from the starch granule surface (27; S. Streb and S. C. Zeeman, unpublished data). The importance of chloroplastic

Linear maltooligosaccharides:

named using the number of glucosyl residues; maltotriose, maltotetraose, and maltopentaose contain three, four, and five glucosyl residues, respectively

Pullulan: a glucan polymer produced by the fungus *Aureobasidium pullulans* and consisting of maltotriose units linked end to end by α-1,6-bonds. It is not present in plants α -amylase in leaf starch degradation appears to differ between species. Rice plants with reduced activity of chloroplastic α -amylase have a *sex* phenotype (2).

Reversible Glucan Phosphorylation

Two classes of enzyme bind to starch and modulate the phosphate content of amylopectin. These are glucan, water dikinases, which add phosphate groups using the β -phosphate of ATP, and phosphoglucan phosphatases, which remove these phosphate groups. Glucan, water dikinase (GWD; ATP: α-1,4-glucan, water phosphotransferase; EC: 2.7.9.4, initially designated R1) was discovered as a starch granulebinding protein in potato tubers (88). In transgenic potatoes deficient in GWD, fewer than 1 in 10,000 glucosyl residues of leaf starch amylopectin have a phosphate group, compared with ~1 in 2000 in control plants. Furthermore, starch accumulates to very high levels in leaves and cold-induced starch degradation in tubers (leading to cold-sweetening; see below) is prevented (88). Similarly, loss of GWD in Arabidopsis (the sex1 mutant) leads to a very severe sex phenotype (169). Subsequent work established that GWD phosphorylates the C6 position of glucosyl residues in amylopectin (112, 113). A second glucan, water dikinase, PWD (for phosphoglucan, water dikinase, ATP: phospho- α -1,4-glucan, water phosphotransferase; EC: 2.7.9.5), phosphorylates the C3 position of glucosyl residues (7, 74) and is also required for normal starch breakdown: Arabidopsis pwd mutants have a mild sex phenotype (7, 74). The action of PWD on amylopectin requires the prior action of GWD (hence its name). This suggests that PWD activity is dependent on the presence of the C6 phosphate group added by GWD, or the change in glucan structure caused by the C6 phosphate (56). Arabidopsis also contains an extraplastidial glucan, water dikinase (GWD2), expressed primarily in the vasculature. Mutant analysis shows that this is not required for leaf starch metabolism and its function is unknown (52).

The impact of phosphorylation on starch degradation has been studied using stable suspensions of insoluble, crystalline maltooligosaccharides as models for starch granules (54, 56). GWD has a high affinity for crystalline malto-oligosaccharides, and phosphorylation results in extensive solubilization of the constituent oligosaccharide chains. These experiments support the idea that phosphorylation in vivo serves to disrupt the crystalline structure of amylopectin (Figure 2) (113, 169). The importance of this disruption for starch degradation was shown by studies of the activities of recombinant β -amylases and DBEs (BAM1 and ISA3; see above) on starch granules isolated from leaves. The rate of degradation of phosphate-free starch granules (isolated from sex1 mutants) by these enzymes was significantly increased by the simultaneous phosphorylation of the granule surface, brought about by the addition of recombinant GWD and ATP (36).

Recent research shows that removal of the phosphate groups added by GWD and PWD is necessary for complete starch degradation. The loss from Arabidopsis leaves of a glucan-binding phosphatase encoded at the SEX4 locus (also designated as PTPKIS1 and DSP4; 42, 71, 100, 132) decreases the rate of starch degradation (100, 172) and leads to the accumulation during the night of soluble phospho-oligosaccharides (75). Recombinant SEX4 can dephosphorylate glucans, including semicrystalline amylopectin (51, 75), acting on phosphate groups at either the C6- or the C3-positions (55). The need for dephosphorylation during starch degradation probably relates to the substrate requirements of β -amylases. These enzymes cannot act on a glucan chain carrying a phosphate group close to the nonreducing end (75, 144). Thus, having served to disrupt the granule surface, phosphate groups must be removed to allow full degradation of the glucan chains. In the absence of SEX4, some β -amylolysis still occurs and the phosphorylated starch granule can also be attacked by DBEs and α-amylase giving rise to the observed build-up of phosphooligosaccharides in the sex4 mutant-but

complete degradation to maltose and glucose is not possible. Further in vitro analyses support these ideas: The rate of starch degradation by β -amylase and DBE is enhanced by the addition of GWD and ATP (see above) and is further enhanced when SEX4 is also added (75).

Arabidopsis chloroplasts contain a second glucan phosphatase–like protein, LSF1 (*l*ike SEX FOUR1), which is required for normal starch degradation. *lsf1* mutants have a *sex* phenotype and reduced rates of starch degradation, although the accumulation of starch is not as pronounced as in *sex4* mutants. Genetic analysis suggests that SEX4 and LSF1 have distinct rather than mutually redundant roles, but the substrate for LSF1 in vivo has yet to be established (21).

Based on the research discussed above, the current model for starch degradation in leaves involves transient amylopectin phosphorylation proceeding concurrently with glucan hydrolysis (Figure 2) (36, 75). Each crystalline lamella of amylopectin is structurally disrupted phosphorylation, allowing progressive via degradation and dephosphorylation by the hydrolytic enzymes and phosphoglucan phosphatases, respectively. As the next crystalline lamella is exposed, the process of disruption begins again (Figure 2). The development of this model has coincided with a renewed interest in the phosphorylation of glycogen in mammals. Glycogen, like amylopectin, contains covalently linked phosphate (41, 86). The mechanism of glycogen phosphorylation is not known, but recent research shows that dephosphorylation is carried out by a glycogen phosphatase (laforin) related in sequence to SEX4 (142, 166). Mutations abolishing laforin function result in the accumulation of aberrant glycogen that aggregates to form insoluble polyglucosan bodies (Lafora bodies). Lafora bodies have been described as starchlike due to their dark staining when treated with iodine solution, suggestive of a branching architecture different from that of glycogen (18). The accumulation of Lafora bodies in neurons is proposed to be the underlying cause of the neurodegenerative condition Lafora disease (18). As in plants, the function of glucan phosphorylation may be to promote or maintain glucan accessibility for hydrolysis, with a requirement for the removal of phosphate groups for complete degradation. If this is the case, loss of laforin could affect both turnover and structure of glucans, as in the *sex4* mutant of *Arabidopsis* (51, 141). However, laforin also appears to function in the turnover of glycogenmetabolizing enzymes through interaction with a ubiquitin ligase called malin (155, 167). Much remains to be elucidated about laforin function and the significance of glycogen phosphorylation.

Differences in Starch Degradation Between Leaves and Cereal Endosperm

Starch degradation in cereal endosperm occurs in a radically different physical setting from the process in the leaf mesophyll cell. At the time of germination, the starchy endosperm is dead: Cellular integrity is lost during the drying-out phase of seed development. The tissue consists largely of cell walls, starch granules, and storage proteins. The product of starch degradation is primarily glucose, which is taken up by living cells in the embryo adjacent to the endosperm (the scutellum). The enzymes that degrade starch are either released into the endosperm from the scutellum or the aleurone (a layer of living cells that surrounds the starchy endosperm) or in the case of β -amylase, laid down within the endosperm during seed development and converted to active forms during germination by the action of proteases released from the aleurone.

Biochemical studies established the presence in the endosperm of four starch-degrading enzymes, α -amylase, β -amylase, DBE (specifically LDA), and α -glucosidase (maltase; EC: 3.2.1.3), and their properties and structurefunction relationships have been studied in detail (e.g., 10, 40, 76, 91). However, relatively little is known about the importance of each of the enzymes in the process of starch degradation, in part because of the difficulty of manipulating their levels independently and in a controlled manner.

Archaeplastida (kingdom Plantae):

the three lineages are Chloroplastida (green plants and algae), Rhodophyceae (red algae), and Glaucophyta (92)

It is generally accepted that α -amylase plays a central role in endosperm starch degradation. Upon germination, there is massive synthesis of α -amylase in the aleurone and scutellum, followed by secretion into the endosperm (39). There it initiates the attack on the starch granule, probably at specific sites (pores) on the granule surface, resulting in a visible pitting effect. Its endoamylolytic action releases a mixture of soluble linear and branched oligosaccharides. In barley, members of two classes of α -amylase genes (*Hv*AMY1 and *Hv*AMY2) are expressed during germination. The proteins encoded by both families are more closely related to the extraplastidial AMY1 of Arabidopsis than to the chloroplastic AMY3 (134, 170). The properties of HvAMY1 and HvAMY2 differ, and it seems likely that they play distinct roles in starch degradation (6). HvAMY2 has a strong affinity for starch as a substrate, while HvAMY1 has a higher affinity for linear malto-oligosaccharides (131). Repression of an AMY1-type α -amylase in the rice endosperm delays seedling establishment (2), confirming its importance in starch mobilization.

The genes encoding the enzymes of transient glucan phosphorylation (i.e., GWD, PWD, and the glucan phosphatases) are conserved in cereal species and are probably involved in the degradation of starch inside plastids. However, there is no evidence that transient glucan phosphorylation occurs in the endosperm of germinated seeds. In fact, cereal seed starches have very low levels of covalently linked phosphate (9), and it is unlikely that there would be sufficient ATP in the endosperm of germinated seeds to sustain the repeated cycles of phosphorylation proposed to occur during degradation of leaf starch. The initial stage of starch degradation in cereal endosperm thus differs markedly from that in chloroplasts.

Linear and branched glucans released from starch by α -amylase can be degraded further by α -amylase, DBE and β -amylase to yield maltose and short malto-oligosaccharides. Unlike the situation in chloroplasts, β -amylase seems to be at least partly redundant in this process. Some cultivars of barley originating from Tibet almost completely lack endosperm β -amylase, yet seedlings grow normally (69). Maltose and short malto-oligosaccharides produced in the endosperm are degraded to glucose by α -glucosidase (maltase). There is evidence that α -glucosidase may also act synergistically with α -amylase to remove glucose directly from the granule surface (137). This contrasts markedly with the fate of starch degradation products in leaves. In Arabidopsis, maltose produced by β-amylase in the chloroplast is exported to the cytosol (101, 165), where it is metabolized by a transglucosidase (DPE2; 20, 89). A similar transglucosidase is necessary for cytosolic maltose metabolism in potato leaves (82). The metabolism of maltose in the leaf cytosol has been reviewed recently (38). There is currently no evidence for the involvement of an α -glucosidase in maltose or malto-oligosaccharide metabolism in Arabidopsis leaves.

EVOLUTION OF STARCH BIOSYNTHESIS

Starch biosynthesis is unique to plants (defined as the Archaeplastida) and evolved from the ancestral ability to make glycogen. The recent expansion of genome sequence information has allowed comparative analysis of different starch- and glycogen-synthesizing organisms from the archaeplastidal lineages. Together with cytological and biochemical studies, this information has shed new light on the possible evolutionary steps leading to the starch biosynthetic machinery that exists in higher plants today.

The Archaeplastida are generally considered to be monophyletic; that is, all members are descended from a single ancestor in which a primary endosymbiotic event occurred [entailing the uptake of a cyanobacterial cell (the symbiont) by a nonphotosynthetic eukaryotic cell (the host); 92]. Most modern cyanobacteria synthesize glycogen, as do nonplant eukaryotes. However, the recent identification of modern cyanobacterial species that make polymers more like starch (designated as either semiamylopectin or cyanobacterial starch) means that the primary endosymbiont itself may have been able to synthesize a starchlike polymer (32, 98, 125, 126). Differences in the starch biosynthetic pathways between the archaeplastidal lineages have arisen during subsequent evolution. Most notably, in green plants starch is synthesized in the plastid compartment, whereas in red algae and in glaucophytes it is synthesized in the cytosol. Interestingly, some rhodophyte species appear to have reverted to synthesizing glycogen (126).

Analyses of the phylogeny of the protein sequences of starch metabolic enzymes have revealed a mixture of host- and symbiont-derived genes in each branch of the Archaeplastida (32-34, 103). In green plants, the ADP-glucoseutilizing soluble and granule-bound starch synthases are derived from the symbiont. Red algae and glaucophytes contain both UDP-glucoseutilizing starch synthases derived from the host and GBSS-like proteins derived from the symbiont. The ancestry of other starch metabolic enzymes is also a mosaic; in all cases, branching enzymes, phosphorylase, and β-amylases are derived from the ancestral host, whereas DPE1 and isoamylase are proposed to be symbiont derived (32, 103). The sequence of events that resulted in cytosolic starch biosynthesis in some Archaeplastida and plastidial starch biosynthesis in others remains the subject of speculation (32, 103).

Components of the starch biosynthetic machinery that are found in all starch-synthesizing organisms are likely to have made an important contribution at some stage in the evolution of glucan polymers able to form starch granules. For example, GBSS-like proteins are present in all starch-synthesizing lineages examined thus far. Even though GBSS is not essential for amylopectin synthesis in higher plants today, its capacity to produce long glucan chains may have been an important factor in the evolutionary transition to the synthesis of amylopectin-like rather than glycogen-like polymers (107). The subsequent acquisition of other starch synthase

isoforms in the green plant lineage may have rendered this original function of GBSS redundant, and amylose synthesis may be a secondary function. Isoamylases are also present in all starch-synthesizing organisms. Their original function was probably in glucan degradation (as is the case in glycogen-synthesizing bacteria; 25, 138). Their recruitment to glucan synthesis is likely to have been an important step toward the synthesis of glucan polymers able to form starch granules. This step may have been facilitated by gene duplication events that allowed the evolution of multiple isoforms with distinct substrate specificities. Further insight into the evolution of starch metabolism from ancestral glycogen metabolism will be facilitated by the recent development of model organisms from the different branches of the Archaeplastida (33, 105).

BIOTECHNOLOGICAL MODIFICATION OF STARCH METABOLISM

Starch is the major carbohydrate of nutritional importance in the human diet and is also an important industrial material. Starch for industrial purposes is extracted predominantly from corn, but significant amounts are also extracted from a range of other species, including rice, wheat, cassava, potato, arrowroot (Maranta arundinacea), and sago palm (Metroxylon sagu). Starches from different botanical sources have different polymer compositions and structures and, hence, different physicochemical properties (gelatinization temperature, viscosity of cooked pastes and gels, etc.). These properties-referred to as the functionality of the starch-determine the range of applications for which a given starch is used. Starch content is also an important consideration in crops used as forage and during the storage of harvested crop organs (e.g., fruits, in which ripening involves the conversion of starch to sugars, and potato tubers, in which conversion of starch to sugars leads to deterioration).

The modification of starch metabolism in crops could be beneficial to increase starch

TILLING: targetted induced local lesions in genomes; a method for the identification of chemically induced mutations in specific genes of interest

AGPase: in plants, a heterotetramer comprising two large and two small subunits, evolved from a common ancestor protein. In *Escherichia coli*, AGPase is a homotetramer of the GlgC protein

accumulation in harvested organs, to prevent or increase starch degradation (depending on the crop and/or use), or to modify starch structure to enhance or diversify its functionality in food and as an industrial material. The latter is of particular interest, as specialty applications of starch often require it to be chemically physically modified after extraction to or achieve optimum functionality. Given the large number of enzymes that are involved in determining starch structure in a specific plant organ, the potential number of different starches that could be bioengineered by upor downregulation of the respective genes is enormous. In diploid species that are sexually propagated, breeding, potentially combined with TILLING approaches, is the best method to introduce combinations of mutations affecting the genes that encode enzymes of starch metabolism. Biotechnological methods may be preferable or required if the target crop is polyploid and/or asexually propagated, if the target gene needs to be upregulated or only partially downregulated, or if the desired trait results from expression of a gene from another species.

Biotechnological Approaches to Increase Starch Biosynthesis

Most attempts to enhance starch accumulation have focused on engineering ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (AGPase) activity in plants. This enzyme provides the substrate for SSs and is subject to tight allosteric regulation. Therefore a mutant variant of the AGPase gene from Escherichia coli (glgC16), encoding a differently regulated form of the enzyme, has been widely used for overexpression in plants. When glgC16 was targeted to plastids in transgenic potatoes, some of the lines had up to 60% more starch in tubers on a fresh-weight basis than control plants (135). However, in experiments with a different variety of potato (139), as well as in cassava (62), maize (159), and other species, increases of this magnitude have not been seen. Therefore, manipulating AGPase alone may not be the most promising strategy for increasing starch contents in plant storage organs (128).

There is good evidence that increasing the supply of ATP to the plastid can stimulate the production of ADP-glucose and hence the rate of starch biosynthesis. First, overexpression of a plastidial envelope adenylate translocator from Arabidopsis in potato increased ADP-glucose levels twofold and increased starch content by 16-36% compared with control tubers (50, 149). Second, the downregulation of a plastidial adenylate kinase, an enzyme that interconverts two molecules of ADP into ATP and AMP, resulted in a tenfold increase in ADP-glucose levels and a doubling of the starch content in potato tubers, in both greenhouse and field trials (110). It is possible that the downregulation of adenylate kinase leads to enhanced transport of ATP into the plastids in exchange for ADP, which is released from ADP-glucose upon glucan polymerization.

Manipulation of Starch Breakdown to Enhance Crop Quality

An alternative approach to enhancing starch content in crops in which starch turnover occurs is to reduce the rate of starch degradation. As discussed above, the addition of phosphate groups to amylopectin by GWD is necessary for starch degradation. To enhance the proportion of starch-an easily digestible carbohydratein fodder crops and products, the corresponding GWD has been downregulated in transgenic clover (Trifolium repens), alfalfa (Medicago sativa), and ryegrass (Lolium perenne; 45), as well as in maize varieties used for silage production (44). In all of these cases, the downregulation of GWD led to substantial increases of the starch content in leaves, which ultimately should lead to enhanced digestibility of the fodder crops. Downregulation of GWD to prevent starch breakdown has also been exploited to enhance the quality of potato tubers. Potato tubers degrade their starch during cold storage and accumulate the reducing sugars fructose and glucose (cold sweetening). The presence of reducing sugars allows the Maillard reaction

to occur during frying, leading to an undesirable dark coloring of the fried products and to the production of toxic acrylamide (93). Downregulating GWD in potato tubers inhibits cold sweetening (88) and reduces acrylamide production during frying (115). Surprisingly, the enzymes responsible for starch degradation in potato tubers during cold storage remain unknown. Their identification will be assisted by the recent progress in *Arabidopsis* and will provide additional options for the inhibition of cold sweetening.

An increased capacity for starch degradation is of potential value in starch crops grown for bioethanol production. Expression in corn (*Zea mays*) of a thermostable α -amylase (80) has been used to create so-called self-processing plants that improve the economic viability of conversion of starch to ethanol. The amylase is expressed in subcellular compartments that do not participate in starch biosynthesis or storage, so its presence does not affect starch accumulation during growth of the crop. When milled kernels are heated in water to gelatinize the starch, the thermostable amylase initiates the conversion of the starch to fermentable sugars.

Manipulation of Starch Structure to Diversify Its Uses

The major targets for the manipulation of starch structure in plants are to modify the relative proportions of amylopectin and amylose, to change the chain length distribution in the amylopectin, or to increase the phosphate content of the starch. Due to the contrasting physicochemical properties of amylopectin and amylose, it is generally advantageous if starches for industrial applications are composed mainly of one or the other. Many mutants have been described in various cereal and legume species that contain starches composed of only amylopectin or that have a very high proportion of amylose (73). Efforts have been made to introduce these traits into potato and cassava, which are generally difficult to breed, using transgenic approaches. This is partly because the

mutants in other species frequently show yield penalties, which might not occur in cassava and potato, and partly because starches from these sources already have distinctive properties with specific industrial value (e.g., in the case of potato, a relatively high phosphate content). The first transgenic potato and cassava plants with modified starch were those in which amylose was eliminated via the downregulation of GBSS (106, 145, 156). No penalties on the starch content were observed and plants seemingly suited for commercialization were produced almost 20 years ago. Problems with gaining approval for commercialization of transgenic crops in Europe mean that this has still not been achieved. Instead, a mutant GBSS from a nontransgenic amylose-free mutant of potato, originally identified in a diploid variety (60), has been bred into a commercial cultivar and commercially produced amylose-free potato starch is derived from this. A nontransgenic amylose-free mutant has now also been described for cassava (17), opening the possibility of commercially produced amylose-free cassava starch without facing the regulatory hurdles surrounding transgenic lines.

It has proved more difficult to produce highamylose potato starch. The main approach has been through downregulation of isoforms of branching enzyme. When the major isoform (BEI) was downregulated, only minor changes in starch structure and no increases in the amylose content were observed, despite the fact that more than 95% of the measurable activity was lost (72, 117). Subsequently, the minor tuber isoform (BEII) was downregulated, giving an apparent amylose content of the starch of 38% compared with 30% in the starch from control plants (66). Only when both isoforms were simultaneously downregulated were substantial increases in the amylose content achieved (reaching 75% of the granule; 122). Interestingly, there seems to be an interaction between the branching of starch and its phosphorylation during starch biosynthesis in potato tubers. Simultaneous downregulation of GWD and the BEs resulted in higher amylose contents (up to 90%) than downregulation of BEs alone (87, 153). Downregulation of BEs led to marked increases of the phosphate content of the starch (117).

There are numerous reports in the literature on diverse transgenic manipulations that affect amylopectin structure (e.g., 84). Those that were done in an amylose-free background are arguably most suitable for further exploitation. Based on the observation that the simultaneous reduction of SSII and SSIII in potato led to the synthesis of an amylopectin with an elevated ratio of short to long chains (37, 83), Jobling et al. (67) downregulated SSII and SSIII in combination with GBSS, resulting in the production of short-chain amylopectin almost free of amylose. When heated in water this starch produces a gel that is stable through repeated cycles of freezing and thawing-a highly desirable trait. A similar approach was used to produce high-phosphate amylopectin. Based on the observation that downregulation of BEI (117) or SSIII (1) leads to an increased phosphate content, each has been simultaneously downregulated with GBSS (133).

In contrast to potato and cassava starches, cereal endosperm starches are generally low in phosphate (9). With the discovery of GWD and PWD, it is now possible to produce cereal starches that contain higher amounts of phosphate through overexpression of those proteins during seed development. This is especially interesting because the superior quality of potato starch for some applications relies partly on its high phosphate content. The overexpression of GWD has now been achieved in wheat (121), maize (43, 79), and rice (43). This reportedly leads to the production of starches with

unprecedented swelling power when heated in water (43).

Outlook for the Development of Crops with Altered Starch Metabolism

The biotechnological development of crops with altered starch metabolism is hampered by two main problems. First, as mentioned above, there are enormous numbers of enzyme variations-and thus starch structuresthat could be generated. However, the relationship between a given starch structure and its resultant physicochemical properties is not well understood. Thus, it is difficult to predict what uses might be found for a starch with a novel structure. Large amounts of starch are generally needed for testing in different applications; these are difficult to produce for large numbers of genotypes. Second, the high costs associated with the regulatory procedures surrounding transgenic plants make it commercially unattractive to develop such crops, especially when a nontransgenic alternative exists. Following the cisgenic (or intragenic) route in which plants are transformed only with their native DNA presents an exciting opportunity. This approach involves the introduction of native promoter elements and coding sequences, flanked by species-specific P-DNA elements rather than by Agrobacterium-derived T-DNA (115). One example of such an event where, among other traits, cold-induced sweetening has been suppressed in cisgenic potatoes is currently in the process of deregulation (116). The outcome of this attempted commercialization may have a large impact on the future of starch biotechnology.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the intellectual input of our laboratory colleagues, and the following organizations for financial support for our research: ETH Zurich (S. C. Z); The Swiss National

Science Foundation (Grant No. 3100AO-116434/1; S. C. Z); The Swiss National Center for Competence in Research—Plant Survival (S. C. Z.); Swiss-South African Joint Research Program (Grant No. IZ LS Z3122916; S. C. Z and J. K.); Research Chair in Genetic Tailoring of Biopolymers awarded by the National Research Foundation (South Africa) to Stellenbosch University (J. K.); The UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council via a core strategic grant to the John Innes Centre and competitive individual grant awards (A. M. S.).

LITERATURE CITED

- Abel GJW, Springer F, Willmitzer L, Kossmann J. 1996. Cloning and functional analysis of a cDNA encoding a novel 139 kDa starch synthase from potato (Solanum tuberosum L). Plant J. 10:981–91
- Asatsuma S, Sawada C, Itoh K, Okito M, Kitajima A, Mitsui T. 2005. Involvement of α-amylase I-1 in starch degradation in rice chloroplasts. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 46:858–69
- Ayers JE, Creech RG. 1969. Genetic control of phytoglycogen accumulation in maize (Zea mays L.). Crop Sci. 9:739–41
- Ball S, Guan H-P, James M, Myers A, Keeling P, et al. 1996. From glycogen to amylopectin: A model for the biogenesis of the plant starch granule. *Cell* 86:349–52
- Ball S, Morell MK. 2003. From bacterial glycogen to starch: understanding the biogenesis of the starch granule. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 54:207–33
- Bak-Jensen KS, Laugesen S, Østergaard O, Finnie C, Roepstorff P, Svensson B. 2007. Spatio-temporal profiling and degradation of α-amylase isozymes during barley seed germination. FEBS J. 274:2552–65
- Baunsgaard L, Lütken H, Mikkelsen R, Glaring MA, Pham TT, Blennow A. 2005. A novel isoform of glucan, water dikinase phosphorylates prephosphorylated α-glucans and is involved in starch degradation in *Arabidopsis. Plant J*. 41:595–605
- 8. Black RC, Loerch JD, McArdle FJ, Creech RG. 1966. Genetic interactions affecting maize phytoglycogen and the phytoglycogen-forming branching enzyme. *Genetics* 53:661–68
- Blennow A, Engelsen SB, Munck L, Møller BL. 2000. Starch molecular structure and phosphorylation investigated by a combined chromatographic and chemometric approach. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 41:163–74
- Bozonnet S, Jensen MT, Nielsen MM, Aghajari N, Jensen MH, et al. 2007. The 'pair of sugar tongs' site on the noncatalytic domain C of barley α-amylase participates in substrate binding and activity. *FEBS 7.* 274:5055–67
- Buléon A, Colonna P, Planchot V, Ball S. 1998. Starch granules: structure and biosynthesis. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 23:85–112
- 12. Burton RA, Jenner H, Carrangis L, Fahy B, Fincher GB, et al. 2002. Starch granule initiation and growth are altered in barley mutants that lack isoamylase activity. *Plant J.* 31:97–112
- Bustos R, Fahy B, Hylton CM, Seale R, Nebane NM, et al. 2004. Starch granule initiation is controlled by a heteromultimeric isoamylase in potato tubers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101:2215–20
- Buttrose MS. 1960. Submicroscopic development and structure of starch granules in cereal endosperms. *J. Ultrastruct. Res.* 4:231–57
- Caspar T, Lin T-P, Kakefuda G, Benbow L, Preiss J, Somerville C. 1991. Mutants of Arabidopsis with altered regulation of starch degradation. *Plant Physiol.* 95:1181–88
- Cao H, Imparl-Radosevich J, Guan H, Keeling PL, James MG, Myers AM. 1999. Identification of the soluble starch synthase activities of maize endosperm. *Plant Physiol*. 120:205–16
- Ceballos H, Sanchez T, Morante N, Fregene M, Dufour D, et al. 2007. Discovery of an amylose-free starch mutant in cassava (*Manihot esculenta Crantz*). J. Agric. Food Chem. 55:7469–76
- Chan EM, Ackerley CA, Lohi H, Ianzano L, Cortez MA, et al. 2004. Laforin preferentially binds the neurotoxic starch-like polyglucosans, which form in its absence in progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Human Mol. Genet.* 13:1117–29
- Chatterjee M, Berbezy P, Vyas D, Coates S, Barsby T. 2005. Reduced expression of a protein homologous to glycogenin leads to reduction of starch content in *Arabidopsis* leaves. *Plant Sci.* 168:501–9
- Chia T, Thorneycroft D, Chapple A, Messerli G, Chen J, et al. 2004. A cytosolic glycosyltransferase is required for conversion of starch to sucrose in *Arabidopsis* leaves at night. *Plant J*. 37:853–63

- Comparot-Moss S, Kötting O, Stettler M, Edner C, Graf A, et al. 2010. A putative phosphatase, LSF1, is required for normal starch turnover in *Arabidopsis* leaves. *Plant Physiol.* doi:10.1104/pp.109.148981
- Critchley J, Zeeman SC, Takaha T, Smith AM, Smith SM. 2001. A critical role for disproportionating enzyme in starch breakdown but not in starch synthesis is revealed by a knock-out mutation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J*. 26:89–100
- Dauvillée D, Chochois V, Steup M, Haebel S, Eckermann N, et al. 2006. Plastidial phosphorylase is required for normal starch synthesis in *Chlamydomonas reinbardtii*. *Plant J*. 48:274–85
- Dauvillée D, Colleoni C, Mouille G, Morell MK, d'Hulst C, et al. 2001. Biochemical characterization of wild type and mutant isoamylases of *Chlamydomonas reinbardtii* supports a function of the multimeric enzyme organisation in amylopectin maturation. *Plant Physiol.* 125:1723–31
- Dauvillée D, Kinderf IS, Li ZY, Kosar-Hashemi B, Samuel MS, et al. 2005. Role of the *Escherichia coli* GlgX gene in glycogen metabolism. *J. Bacteriol.* 187:1465–73
- Delatte T, Trevisan M, Parker ML, Zeeman SC. 2005. Arabidopsis mutants Atisa1 and Atisa2 have identical phenotypes and lack the same multimeric isoamylase, which influences the branch point distribution of amylopectin during starch synthesis. Plant J. 41:815–30
- Delatte T, Umhang M, Trevisan M, Eicke S, Thorneycroft T, et al. 2006. Evidence for distinct mechanisms of starch granule breakdown in plants. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:12050–59
- Denyer K, Clarke B, Hylton C, Tatge H, Smith AM. 1996. The elongation of amylose and amylopectin chains in isolated starch granules. *Plant J*. 10:1135–43
- Denyer K, Johnson P, Zeeman SC, Smith AM. 2001. The control of amylose synthesis. *J. Plant Physiol.* 158:479–87
- Denyer K, Smith AM. 1992. The purification and characterization of two forms of soluble starch synthase from developing pea embryos. *Planta* 186:609–17
- Denyer K, Waite D, Motawia S, Møller BL, Smith AM. 1999. Granule-bound starch synthase I in isolated starch granules elongates malto-oligosaccharides processively. *Biochem J.* 340:183–91
- Deschamps P, Colleoni C, Nakamura Y, Suzuki E, Putaux J-L, et al. 2008. Metabolic symbiosis and the birth of the plant kingdom. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 25:536–48
- Deschamps P, Guillebeault D, Devassine J, Dauvillee D, Haebel S, et al. 2008. The heterotrophic dinoflagellate *Crypthecodinium cobnii* defines a model genetic system to investigate cytoplasmic starch synthesis. *Eukaryotic Cell* 7:872–80
- Deschamps P, Haferkamp I, Dauvillée D, Haebel S, Steup M, et al. 2006. Nature of the periplastidial pathway of starch synthesis in the cryptophyte *Guillardia theta*. *Eukaryotic Cell* 5:954–63
- Dinges JR, Colleoni C, James MG, Myers AM. 2003. Mutational analysis of the pullulanase-type debranching enzyme of maize indicates multiple functions in starch metabolism. *Plant Cell* 15:666–80
- Edner C, Li J, Albrecht T, Mahlow S, Hejazi M, et al. 2007. Glucan, water dikinase activity stimulates breakdown of starch granules by plastidial β-amylases. *Plant Physiol.* 145:17–28
- Edwards A, Fulton DC, Hylton CM, Jobling SA, Gidley M, et al. 1999. A combined reduction in activity
 of starch synthases II and III of potato has novel effects on the starch of tubers. *Plant J.* 17:251–61
- Fettke J, Hejazi M, Smirnova J, Hochel E, Stage M, Steup M. 2009. Eukaryotic starch degradation: integration of plastidial and cytosolic pathways. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60:2907–22
- Fincher GB. 1989. Molecular and cellular biology associated with endosperm mobilization in germinating cereal grains. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 40:305–46
- Frandsen TP, Lok F, Mirgorodskaya E, Roepstorff P, Svensson B. 2000. Purification, enzymatic characterization, and nucleotide sequence of a high-isoelectric-point α-glucosidase from barley malt. *Plant Physiol.* 123:275–86
- 41. Fontana JD. 1980. The presence of phosphate in glycogen. FEBS Lett. 109:85-92
- Fordham-Skelton AP, Chilley P, Lumbreras V, Reignoux S, Fenton TR, et al. 2002. A novel higher plant protein tyrosine phosphatase interacts with SNF1-related protein kinases via a KIS (kinase interaction sequence) domain. *Plant J*. 29:705–15
- Frohberg C. 2008. Genetically modified plants which synthesize a starch having increased swelling power. *International Patent application no.* WO/2008/017518
- 44. Frohberg C, Baeuerlein M. 2006. Method for generating maize plants with an increased leaf starch content, and their use for making maize silage. US Patent application no. US 2006/0150278 A1

- Frohberg C, Leube M, Rumpler I. 2003. Transgenic fodder plants with an increased leaf starch content. US Patent application no. US 2003/0217386 A1
- Fujita N, Toyosawa Y, Utsumi Y, Higuchi T, Hanashiro I, et al. 2009. Characterization of pullulanase (PUL)-deficient mutants of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and the function of PUL on starch biosynthesis in the developing rice endosperm. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60:1009–23
- Fulton DC, Edwards A, Pilling E, Robinson HL, Fahy B, et al. 2002. Role of granule-bound starch synthase in determination of amylopectin structure and starch granule morphology in potato. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:10834–41
- Fulton DC, Stettler M, Mettler T, Vaughan CK, Li J, et al. 2008. BETA-AMYLASE 4, a noncatalytic protein that is required for starch breakdown, acts upstream of three active β-amylases in *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts. *Plant Cell* 20:1040–58
- Gallant DJ, Bouchet B, Baldwin PM. 1997. Microscopy of starch: evidence of a new level of granule organization. *Carbohydr. Polymers* 32:177–91
- Geigenberger P, Stamme C, Tjaden J, Schulz A, Quick PW, et al. 2001. Tuber physiology and properties of starch from tubers of transgenic potato plants with altered plastidic adenylate transporter activity. *Plant Physiol.* 125:1667–78
- Gentry MS, Dowen RH, Worby CA, Mattoo S, Ecker JR, Dixon JE. 2007. The phosphatase laforin crosses evolutionary boundaries and links carbohydrate metabolism to neuronal disease. *J. Cell Biol.* 178:477–88
- Glaring MA, Zygadlo A, Thorneycroft D, Schulz A, Smith SM, et al. 2007. An extraplastidial alphaglucan, water dikinase from *Arabidopsis* phosphorylates amylopectin in vitro and is not necessary for transient starch degradation. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58:3949–60
- Hanashiro I, Itoh K, Kuratomi Y, Yamazaki M, Igarashi T, et al. 2008. Granule-bound starch synthase I is responsible for biosynthesis of extralong unit chains of amylopectin in rice. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 49:925–33
- Hejazi M, Fettke J, Haebel S, Edner C, Paris O, et al. 2008. Glucan, water dikinase phosphorylates crystalline maltodextrins and thereby initiates solubilization. *Plant J*. 55:323–34
- 55. Hejazi H, Fettke J, Kötting O, Zeeman SC, Steup M. 2010. The laforin-like dual-specificity phosphatase SEX4 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* hydrolyses both C6- and C3-phosphate esters introduced by starch-related dikinases and thereby affects phase transition of α-glucans. *Plant Physiol.* doi: 10.1104/pp.109.149914
- 56. Hejazi M, Fettke J, Paris O, Steup M. 2009. The two plastidial starch-related dikinases sequentially phosphorylate glucosyl residues at the surface of both the A- and B-type allomorphs of crystallized maltodextrins but the mode of action differs. *Plant Physiol.* 150:962–76
- 57. Hennen-Bierwagen TA, Lin Q, Grimaud F, Planchot V, Keeling PL, et al. 2009. Proteins from multiple metabolic pathways associate with starch biosynthetic enzymes in high molecular weight complexes: a model for regulation of carbon allocation in maize amyloplasts. *Plant Physiol.* 149:1541–59
- Hennen-Bierwagen TA, Liu F, Marsh RS, Kim S, Gan Q, et al. 2008. Starch biosynthetic enzymes from developing maize endosperm associate in multisubunit complexes. *Plant Physiol.* 146:1892–908
- Hizukuri S. 1986. Polymodal distribution of the chain lengths of amylopectins, and its significance. Carbobydr. Res. 147:342–47
- Hovenkamp-Hermelink JHM, Jacobsen E, Ponstein AS, Visser RGF, Vos-Scheperkeuter GH, et al. 1987. Isolation of an amylose-free starch mutant of potato (*Solanum tuberosum L.*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 75:217–21
- Hussain H, Mant A, Seale R, Zeeman SC, Hinchliffe E, et al. 2003. Three isoforms of isoamylase contribute different catalytic properties for the debranching of potato glucans. *Plant Cell* 15:133–49
- Ihemere U, Arias-Garzon D, Lawrence S, Sayre R. 2006. Genetic modification of cassava for enhanced starch production. *Plant Biotech. J.* 4:453–65
- James MG, Robertson DS, Myers AM. 1995. Characterization of the maize gene sugary1, a determinant of starch composition in kernels. *Plant Cell* 7:417–29
- Jane J-L, Kasemsuwan T, Leas S, Zobel H, Robyt JF. 1994. Anthology of starch granule morphology by scanning electron microscopy. *Starch-Stärke* 46:121–29
- Jenkins PJ, Cameron RE, Donald AM. 1993. A universal feature in the structure of starch granules from different botanical sources. *Starch-Stärke* 45:417–20

- 66. Jobling SA, Schwall GP, Westcott RJ, Sidebottom CM, Debet M, et al. 1999. A minor form of starch branching enzyme in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tubers has a major effect on starch structure: cloning and characterization of multiple forms of SBE A. *Plant J.* 18:163–71
- Jobling SA, Westcott RJ, Tayal A, Jeffcoat R, Schwall GP. 2002. Production of a freeze-thaw-stable potato starch by antisense inhibition of three starch synthase genes. *Nat. Biotech.* 20:295–99
- Jordy MN. 2004. Seasonal variation of organogenetic activity and reserves allocation in the shoot apex of *Pinus pinaster* Ait. *Annals Bot.* 93:25–37
- Kaneko T, Kihara M, Ito K, Takeda K. 2000. Molecular and chemical analysis of β-amylase-less mutant barley in Tibet. *Plant Breeding* 119:383–87
- Kaplan F, Guy CL. 2005. RNA interference of *Arabidopsis* β-amylase 8 prevents maltose accumulation upon cold shock and increases sensitivity of PSII photochemical efficiency to freezing stress. *Plant J*. 44:730–43
- Kerk D, Conley TR, Rodriguez FA, Tran HT, Nimick M, et al. 2006. A chloroplast-localized dual-specificity protein phosphatase in *Arabidopsis* contains a phylogenetically dispersed and ancient carbohydrate-binding domain, which binds the polysaccharide starch. *Plant J.* 46:400–13
- Kossmann J. 1992. Klonierung und funktionelle Analyze kodierend fuer am Kohlenhydratstoffwechsel der Kartoffel beteiligte Proteine. PhD thesis. Technical University of Berlin. 58 pp.
- Kossmann J, Lloyd J. 2000. Understanding and influencing starch biochemistry. *Critical Rev. Plant Sci.* 19:171–226
- 74. Kötting O, Pusch K, Tiessen A, Geigenberger P, Steup M, Ritte G. 2005. Identification of a novel enzyme required for starch metabolism in *Arabidopsis* leaves. The phosphoglucan, water dikinase. *Plant Physiol.* 137:242–52
- Kötting O, Santelia D, Edner C, Eicke S, Marthaler T, et al. 2009. SEX4, a glucan phosphatase, dephosphorylates amylopectin at the granule surface during starch breakdown in *Arabidopsis* leaves. *Plant Cell* 21:334–46
- Kristensen M, Lok F, Planchot V, Svendsen I, Leah R, Svensson B. 1999. Isolation and characterization of the gene encoding the starch debranching enzyme limit dextrinase from germinating barley. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1431:538–46
- 77. Kruger NJ, ap Rees T. 1983. Properties of α-glucan phosphorylase from pea. Phytochem. 22:1891–98
- Laby RJ, Kim D, Gibson SI. 2001. The *ram1* mutant of *Arabidopsis* exhibits severely decreased β-amylase activity. *Plant Physiol.* 127:1798–807
- Lanahan MB, Basu SS. 2005. Modified starch, uses, methods for production thereof. *International Patent* application no. WO/2005/002359
- Lanahan MB, Basu SS, Batie CJ, Chen W, Craig J, Kinkema M. 2006. Self-processing plants and plant parts. US Patent application no. US 7102057 B2
- Leterrier M, Holappa LD, Broglie KE, Beckles DM. 2008. Cloning, characterization and comparative analysis of a starch synthase IV gene in wheat: functional and evolutionary implications. *BMC Plant Biol.* 8:98
- Lloyd JR, Blennow A, Burhenne K, Kossmann J. 2004. Repression of a novel isoform of disproportionating enzyme (*StDPE2*) in potato leads to inhibition of starch degradation in leaves but not tubers stored at low temperature. *Plant Physiol.* 134:1347–54
- Lloyd JR, Kossmann J, Ritte G. 2005. Leaf starch degradation comes out of the shadows. *Trends Plant Sci.* 10:130–37
- Lloyd JR, Landschutze V, Kossmann J. 1999. Simultaneous antisense inhibition of two starch-synthase isoforms in potato tubers leads to accumulation of grossly modified amylopectin. *Biochem.* 7, 338:515–21
- Lomako J, Lomako WM, Whelan WJ. 1988. A self-glucosylating protein is the primer for rabbit muscle glycogen biosynthesis. *EASEB J*. 2:3097–103
- Lomako J, Lomako WM, Whelan WJ, Marchase RB. 1993. Glycogen contains phosphodiester groups that can be introduced by UDPglucose: glycogen glucose 1-phosphotransferase. FEBS Lett. 329:263–67
- Lorberth R. 1996. Charakterisierung von RL1:ein neues Enzym des Staerkemetabolismus. PhD thesis. Free University Berlin. 86 pp.
- Lorberth R, Ritte G, Willmitzer L, Kossmann J. 1998. Inhibition of a starch-granule-bound protein leads to modified starch and repression of cold sweetening. *Nat. Biotecb.* 16:473–77

- Lu Y, Sharkey TD. 2004. The role of amylomaltase in maltose metabolism in the cytosol of photosynthetic cells. *Planta* 218:466–73
- Marshall J, Sidebottom C, Debet M, Martin C, Smith AM, Edwards A. 1996. Identification of the major starch synthase in the soluble fraction of pea embryos. *Plant Cell* 8:1121–35
- Mikami B, Yoon H-J, Yoshigi N. 1999. The crystal structure of the sevenfold mutant of barley β-amylase with increased thermostability at 2.5 angstrom resolution. *J. Mol. Biol.* 285:1235–43
- Moreira D, Le Guyader H, Philippe H. 2000. The origin of red algae and the evolution of chloroplasts. Nature 405:69–72
- Mottram DS, Wedzicha BL, Dodson AT. 2002. Acrylamide is formed in the Maillard reaction. Nature 419:448–49
- Mouille G, Maddelein M-L, Libessart N, Talaga P, Decq A, Delrue B, Ball SG. 1996. Preamylopectin processing: a mandatory step for starch biosynthesis in plants. *Plant Cell* 8:1353–66
- Myers AM, Morell MK, James MG, Ball SG. 2000. Recent progress towards understanding the biogenesis of the amylopectin crystal. *Plant Physiol.* 122:989–97
- Nakamura Y. 2002. Towards a better understanding of the metabolic system for amylopectin biosynthesis in plants: rice endosperm as a model tissue. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 43:718–25
- Nakamura Y, Kubo A, Shimamune T, Matsuda T, Harada K, Satoh H. 1997. Correlation between activities of starch debranching enzyme and α-polyglucan structure in endosperms of *sugary-1* mutants of rice. *Plant J*. 12:143–53
- Nakamura Y, Takahashi JI, Sakurai A, Inaba Y, Suzuki E, et al. 2005. Some cyanobacteria synthesize semiamylopectin type-polyglucans instead of glycogen. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 46:539–45
- Nielsen TH, Baunsgaard L, Blennow A. 2002. Intermediary glucan structures formed during starch granule biosynthesis are enriched in short side chains, a dynamic pulse labeling approach. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:20249–55
- Niittylä T, Comparot-Moss S, Lue W-L, Messerlie G, Trevisan M, et al. 2006. Similar protein phosphatases control starch metabolism in plants and glycogen metabolism in mammals. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:11815–18
- Niittylä T, Messerli G, Trevisan M, Chen J, Smith AM, Zeeman SC. 2004. A novel maltose transporter essential for starch degradation in leaves. *Science* 303:87–89
- Oostergetel GT, van Bruggen EFJ. 1993. The crystalline domains in potato starch granules are arranged in a helical fashion. *Carbobydr. Polymers* 21:7–12
- 103. Patron NJ, Keeling PJ. 2005. Common evolutionary origin of starch biosynthetic enzymes in green and red algae. *J. Phycol.* 41:1131–41
- Pilling E, Smith AM. 2003. Growth ring formation in the starch granules of potato tubers. *Plant Physiol.* 132:365–71
- Plancke C, Colleoni C, Deschamps P, Dauvillee D, Nakamura Y, et al. 2008. Pathway of cytosolic starch synthesis in the model glaucophyte *Cyanophora paradoxa*. *Eukaryotic Cell* 7:247–57
- Raemakers K, Schreuder M, Suurs L, Furrer-Verhorst H, Vincken JP, et al. 2005. Improved cassava starch by antisense inhibition of granule-bound starch synthase I. *Mol. Breeding* 16:163–72
- 107. Ral JP, Colleoni C, Wattebled F, Dauvillee D, Nempont C, et al. 2006. Circadian clock regulation of starch metabolism establishes GBSSI as a major contributor to amylopectin synthesis in *Chlamydomonas reinbardtii*. *Plant Physiol.* 142:305–17
- 108. Ral JP, Derelle E, Ferraz C, Wattebled F, Farinas B, et al. 2004. Starch division and partitioning. A mechanism for granule propagation and maintenance in the picophytoplanktonic green alga Ostreococcus tauri. Plant Physiol. 136:3333–40
- Razem FA, Davis AR. 1999. Anatomical and ultrastructural changes of the floral nectary of *Pisum sativum* L. during flower development. *Protoplasma* 206:57–72
- Regierer B, Fernie AR, Springer F, Perez-Melis A, Leisse A, et al. 2002. Starch content and yield increase as a result of altering adenylate pools in transgenic plants. *Nat. Biotecb.* 20:1256–60
- 111. Ridout MJ, Parker ML, Hedley CL, Bogracheva TY, Morris VJ. 2003. Atomic force microscopy of pea starch granules: granule architecture of wild-type parent, r and rb single mutants, and the rrb double mutant. *Carbobydr. Res.* 338:2135–47

- Ritte G, Heydenreich M, Mahlow S, Haebel S, Kötting O, Steup M. 2006. Phosphorylation of C6- and C3-positions of glucosyl residues in starch is catalysed by distinct dikinases. *FEBS Lett.* 580:4872–76
- 113. Ritte G, Lloyd JR, Eckermann N, Rottmann A, Kossmann J, Steup M. 2002. The starch-related R1 protein is an α-glucan, water dikinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99:7166–71
- 114. Roldán I, Wattebled F, Lucas MM, Delvallé D, Planchot V, et al. 2007. The phenotype of soluble starch synthase IV defective mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* suggests a novel function of elongation enzymes in the control of starch granule formation. *Plant J*. 49:492–504
- Rommens CM, Haring MA, Swords K, Davies HV, Belknap WR. 2007. The intragenic approach as a new extension to traditional plant breeding. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12:397–403
- Rommens CM, Ye JS, Richael C, Swords K. 2006. Improving potato storage and processing characteristics through all-native DNA transformation. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 54:9882–87
- 117. Safford R, Jobling SA, Sidebottom CM, Westcott RJ, Cooke D, et al. 1998. Consequences of antisense RNA inhibition of starch branching enzyme activity on properties of potato starch. *Carbohydr: Polymers* 35:155–68
- Sagi G, Katz A, Guenoune-Gelbart D, Epel BL. 2005. Class 1 reversibly glycosylated polypeptides are plasmodesmal-associated proteins delivered to plasmodesmata via the Golgi apparatus. *Plant Cell* 17:1788–800
- 119. Satoh H, Shibahara K, Tokunaga T, Nishi A, Tasaki M, et al. 2008. Mutation of the plastidial α-glucan phosphorylase gene in rice affects the synthesis and structure of starch in the endosperm. *Plant Cell* 20:1833–49
- 120. Scheidig A, Fröhlich A, Schulze S, Lloyd JR, Kossmann J. 2002. Down-regulation of a chloroplasttargeted β-amylase leads to a starch-excess phenotype in leaves. *Plant J.* 30:581–91
- 121. Schewe G, Knies P, Amati SF, Lorz H, Becker D, et al. 2003. Monocotyledon plant cells and plants which synthesize modified starch. US Patent application no. US 2003/0110534 A1
- 122. Schwall GP, Safford R, Westcott RJ, Jeffcoat R, Tayal A, et al. 2000. Production of very-high-amylose potato starch by inhibition of SBE A and B. *Nat. Biotech.* 18:551–54
- Sehnke PC, Chung HJ, Wu K, Ferl RJ. 2001. Regulation of starch accumulation by granule-associated plant 14-3-3 proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98:765–70
- Shewry PR, Morell M. 2001. Manipulating cereal endosperm structure, development and composition to improve end-use properties. *Advances Bot. Res.* 34:165–236
- 125. Shimonaga T, Fujiwara S, Kaneko M, Izumo A, Nihei S, et al. 2007. Variation in storage α-polyglucans of red algae: amylose and semiamylopectin types in *Porphyridium* and glycogen type in *Cyanidium. Marine Biotech.* 9:192–202
- 126. Shimonaga T, Konishi M, Oyama Y, Fujiwara S, Satoh A, et al. 2008. Variation in storage α-glucans of the Porphyridiales (*Rhodophyta*). *Plant Cell Physiol*. 49:103–16
- 127. Singh DG, Lomako J, Lomako WM, Whelan WJ, Meyer HE, et al. 1995. β-glucosylarginine—a new glucose protein bond in a self-glucosylating protein from sweet corn. *FEBS Lett.* 376:61–64
- Smith AM. 2008. Prospects for increasing starch and sucrose yields for bioethanol production. *Plant J.* 54:546–58
- Smith AM, Stitt M. 2007. Coordination of carbon supply and plant growth. *Plant Cell Environ*. 30:1126–49
- 130. Smith AM, Zeeman SC, Smith SM. 2005. Starch degradation. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 56:73-97
- Søgaard M, Svensson B. 1990. Expression of cDNAs encoding barley α-amylase 1 and 2 in yeast and characterization of the secreted proteins. *Gene* 94:173–79
- Sokolov LN, Dominguez-Solis JR, Allary AL, Buchanan BB, Luan S. 2006. A redox-regulated chloroplast protein phosphatase binds to starch diurnally and functions in its accumulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103:9732–37
- Soyka S, Pilling J, Frohberg C. 2009. Phosphorylated waxy potato starch. US Patent application no. US 2009/0105469 A1
- Stanley D, Fitzgerald AM, Farnden KJF, McRae EA. 2002. Characterization of putative amylases from apple (*Malus domestica*) and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biologia* 57(Suppl.):137–48
- Stark DM, Timmerman KP, Barry GF, Preiss J, Kishore GM. 1992. Regulation of the amount of starch in plant-tissues by ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase. *Science* 258:287–92

- 136. Streb S, Delatte T, Umhang M, Eicke S, Schoderet M, et al. 2008. Starch granule biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* is abolished by removal of all debranching enzymes, but restored by the subsequent removal of an endoamylase. *Plant Cell* 20:3448–66
- Sun Z, Henson CA. 1991. A quantitative assessment of the importance of barley seed α-amylase, βamylase, debranching enzyme, and α-glucosidase in starch degradation. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 284:298– 305
- Suzuki E, Umeda K, Nihei S, Moriya K, Ohkawa H, et al. 2007. Role of the GlgX protein in glycogen metabolism of the cyanobacterium, *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1770:763–73
- Sweetlove LJ, Burrell MM, ap Rees T. 1996. Starch metabolism in tubers of transgenic potato (Solanum tuberosum) with increased ADPglucose pyrophosphorylase. Biochem. J. 320:493–98
- 140. Szydlowski N, Ragel P, Raynaud S, Lucas MM, Roldán I, et al. 2009. Starch granule initiation in *Arabidopsis* requires the presence of either class IV or class III starch synthases. *Plant Cell* 21:2442–57
- 141. Tagliabracci VS, Girard JM, Segvich D, Meyer C, Turnbull J, et al. 2008. Abnormal metabolism of glycogen phosphate as a cause for Lafora disease. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283:33816–25
- 142. Tagliabracci VS, Turnbull J, Wang W, Girard JM, Zhao X, et al. 2007. Laforin is a glycogen phosphatase, deficiency of which leads to elevated phosphorylation of glycogen in vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104:19262–66
- 143. Takashima Y, Senoura T, Yoshizaki T, Haniada S, Ito H, Matsui H. 2007. Differential chain-length specificities of two isoamylase-type starch-debranching enzymes from developing seeds of kidney bean. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 71:2308–12
- 144. Takeda Y, Hizukuri S. 1981. Studies on starch phosphate. Pt. 5. Re-examination of the action of sweetpotato β-amylase on phosphorylated (1-4)-α-D-glucan. *Carbobydr. Res.* 89:174–78
- Tallberg A, Hofvander P, Persson PT, Wikstrom O. 1998. Genetically engineered modification of potato to obtain amylopectin-type starch. US Patent application no. US 5824798
- 146. Tatge H, Marshall J, Martin C, Edwards EA, Smith AM. 1999. Evidence that amylose synthesis occurs within the matrix of the starch granule in potato tubers. *Plant Cell Environ*. 22:543–50
- 147. Tetlow IJ, Beisel KG, Cameron S, Makhmoudova A, Liu F, et al. 2008. Analysis of protein complexes in wheat amyloplasts reveals functional interactions among starch biosynthetic enzymes. *Plant Physiol.* 146:1878–91
- 148. Tetlow IJ, Wait R, Lu ZX, Akkasaeng R, Bowsher CG, et al. 2004. Protein phosphorylation in amyloplasts regulates starch branching enzyme activity and protein-protein interactions. *Plant Cell* 16:694–708
- 149. Tjaden J, Mohlmann T, Kampfenkel K, Henrichs G, Neuhaus HE. 1998. Altered plastidic ATP/ADPtransporter activity influences potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tuber morphology, yield and composition of tuber starch. *Plant J*. 16:531–40
- 150. Tomlinson K, Denyer K. 2003. Starch synthesis in cereal grains. Adv. Bot. Res. 40:1-61
- Ugalde JE, Parodi AJ, Ugalde RA. 2003. De novo synthesis of bacterial glycogen: Agrobacterium tumefaciens glycogen synthase is involved in glucan initiation and elongation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100:10659–63
- 152. Utsumi Y, Nakamura Y. 2006. Structural and enzymatic characterization of the isoamylase1 homooligomer and the isoamylase1-isoamylase2 hetro-oligomer from rice endosperm. *Planta* 225:75–87
- 153. Uwer U, Frohberg C, Pilling J, Landschuetze V. 2006. Transgenic plants synthesizing high amylose starch. US Patent application no. US 7112718 B2
- 154. van de Wal M, D'Hulst C, Vincken JP, Buléon A, Visser R, Ball S. 1998. Amylose is synthesized in vitro by extension of and cleavage from amylopectin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273:22232–40
- 155. Vilchez D, Ros S, Cifuentes D, Pujadas L, Vallès J, et al. 2007. Mechanism suppressing glycogen synthesis in neurons and its demise in progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10:1407–13
- 156. Visser RGF, Somhorst I, Kuipers GJ, Ruys NJ, Feenstra WJ, Jacobsen E. 1991. Inhibition of the expression of the gene for granule-bound starch synthase in potato by antisense constructs. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 225:289–96
- Waigh TA, Kato KL, Donald AM, Gidley MJ, Clarke CJ, Riekel C. 2000. Side-chain liquid-crystalline model for starch. *Starch-Stärke* 52:450–60
- Wang Q, Monroe J, Sjölund RD. 1995. Identification and characterization of a phloem-specific β-amylase. *Plant Physiol.* 109:743–50

- Wang ZY, Chen XP, Wang JH, Liu TS, Liu Y, et al. 2007. Increasing maize seed weight by enhancing the cytoplasmic ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase activity in transgenic maize plants. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 88:83–92
- Wanson JC, Drochman P. 1968. Rabbit skeletal muscle glycogen—a morphological and biochemical study of glycogen beta-particles isolated by precipitation-centrifugation method. *J. Cell Biol.* 38:130–50
- 161. Wattebled F, Buléon A, Bouchet B, Ral JP, Lienard L, et al. 2002. Granule-bound starch synthase I—a major enzyme involved in the biogenesis of B-crystallites in starch granules. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 269:3810–20
- 162. Wattebled F, Dong Y, Dumez S, Delvallé D, Planchot R, et al. 2005. Mutants of *Arabidopsis* lacking a chloroplastic isoamylase accumulate phytoglycogen and an abnormal form of amylopectin. *Plant Physiol*. 138:184–95
- 163. Wattebled F, Planchot V, Dong Y, Szydlowski N, Pontoire B, et al. 2008. Further evidence for the mandatory nature of polysaccharide debranching for the aggregation of semicrystalline starch and for overlapping functions of debranching enzymes in *Arabidopsis* leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 148:1309–23
- 164. Weber A, Servaites JC, Geiger DR, Kofler H, Hille D, et al. 2000. Identification, purification, and molecular cloning of a putative plastidic glucose transporter. *Plant Cell* 12:787–801
- Weise S, Weber APM, Sharkey TD. 2004. Maltose is the major form of carbon exported from the chloroplast at night. *Planta* 218:474–82
- Worby CA, Gentry MS, Dixon JE. 2006. Laforin, a dual specificity phosphatase that dephosphorylates complex carbohydrates. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:30412–18
- Worby CA, Gentry MS, Dixon JE. 2008. Malin decreases glycogen accumulation by promoting the degradation of Protein Targeting to Glycogen (PTG). *J. Biol. Chem.* 283:4069–76
- Wu CY, Colleoni C, Myers AM, James MG. 2002. Enzymatic properties and regulation of ZPU1, the maize pullulanase-type starch debranching enzyme. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 406:21–32
- 169. Yu T-S, Kofler H, Häusler RE, Hille D, Flügge U-I, et al. 2001. SEX1 is a general regulator of starch degradation in plants and not the chloroplast hexose transporter. *Plant Cell* 13:1907–18
- Yu T-S, Zeeman SC, Thorneycroft D, Fulton DC, Lue W-L, et al. 2005. α-Amylase is not required for breakdown of transitory starch in *Arabidopsis* leaves. *J. Biol. Chem.* 250:9773–79
- 171. Zeeman SC, Delatte T, Messerli G, Umhang M, Stettler M, et al. 2007. Starch breakdown: recent discoveries suggest distinct pathways and novel mechanisms. *Functional Plant Biol.* 34:465–73
- 172. Zeeman SC, Northrop F, Smith AM, ap Rees T. 1998. A starch-accumulating mutant of *Arabidopsis* thaliana deficient in a starch-hydrolyzing enzyme. *Plant J*. 15:357–65
- 173. Zeeman SC, Pilling E, Tiessen A, Kato L, Donald AM, Smith AM. 2002. Starch synthesis in Arabidopsis: granule synthesis, composition and structure. Plant Physiol. 129:516–29
- 174. Zeeman SC, Smith SM, Smith AM. 2002. The priming of amylose synthesis in Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Physiol. 128:1069–76
- 175. Zeeman SC, Smith SM, Smith AM. 2004. The breakdown of starch in leaves. New Phytol. 163:247-61
- 176. Zeeman SC, Smith SM, Smith AM. 2007. The diurnal metabolism of leaf starch. Biochem. J. 401:13-28
- 177. Zeeman SC, Thorneycroft D, Schupp N, Chapple A, Weck M, et al. 2004. The role of plastidial α-glucan phosphorylase in starch degradation and tolerance of abiotic stress in *Arabidopsis* leaves. *Plant Physiol*. 135:849–58
- Zeeman SC, Umemoto T, Lue W-L, Au-Yeung P, Martin C, et al. 1998. A mutant of *Arabidopsis* lacking a chloroplastic isoamylase accumulates both starch and phytoglycogen. *Plant Cell* 10:1699–711

$\mathbf{\hat{R}}$

v

Annual Review of Plant Biology

Volume 61, 2010

Contents

A Wandering Pathway in Plant Biology: From Wildflowers to Phototropins to Bacterial Virulence <i>Winslow R. Briggs</i>
Structure and Function of Plant Photoreceptors Andreas Möglich, Xiaojing Yang, Rebecca A. Ayers, and Keith Moffat21
Auxin Biosynthesis and Its Role in Plant Development Yunde Zhao
Computational Morphodynamics: A Modeling Framework to Understand Plant Growth Vijay Chickarmane, Adrienne H.K. Roeder, Paul T. Tarr, Alexandre Cunha, Cory Tobin, and Elliot M. Meyerowitz
Female Gametophyte Development in Flowering Plants Wei-Cai Yang, Dong-Qiao Shi, and Yan-Hong Chen
Doomed Lovers: Mechanisms of Isolation and Incompatibility in Plants <i>Kirsten Bomblies</i>
Chloroplast RNA Metabolism David B. Stern, Michel Goldschmidt-Clermont, and Maureen R. Hanson
Protein Transport into Chloroplasts Hsou-min Li and Chi-Chou Chiu
The Regulation of Gene Expression Required for C ₄ Photosynthesis <i>Julian M. Hibberd and Sarah Covshoff</i>
Starch: Its Metabolism, Evolution, and Biotechnological Modification in Plants Samuel C. Zeeman, Jens Kossmann, and Alison M. Smith
Improving Photosynthetic Efficiency for Greater Yield Xin-Guang Zhu, Stephen P. Long, and Donald R. Ort
Hemicelluloses Henrik Vibe Scheller and Peter Ulvskov 263
Diversification of P450 Genes During Land Plant Evolution Masaharu Mizutani and Daisaku Ohta

Evolution in Action: Plants Resistant to Herbicides Stephen B. Powles and Qin Yu 3	317
Insights from the Comparison of Plant Genome Sequences Andrew H. Paterson, Michael Freeling, Haibao Tang, and Xiyin Wang	349
High-Throughput Characterization of Plant Gene Functions by Using Gain-of-Function Technology Youichi Kondou, Mieko Higuchi, and Minami Matsui	373
Histone Methylation in Higher Plants Chunyan Liu, Falong Lu, Xia Cui, and Xiaofeng Cao	395
Genetic and Molecular Basis of Rice Yield Yongzhong Xing and Qifa Zhang4	¥21
Genetic Engineering for Modern Agriculture: Challenges and Perspectives <i>Ron Mittler and Eduardo Blumwald</i>	143
Metabolomics for Functional Genomics, Systems Biology, and Biotechnology <i>Kazuki Saito and Fumio Matsuda</i>	463
Quantitation in Mass-Spectrometry-Based Proteomics Waltraud X. Schulze and Björn Usadel	1 91
Metal Hyperaccumulation in Plants <i>Ute Krämer</i>	517
Arsenic as a Food Chain Contaminant: Mechanisms of Plant Uptake and Metabolism and Mitigation Strategies <i>Fang-Jie Zhao, Steve P. McGrath, and Andrew A. Meharg</i>	535
Guard Cell Signal Transduction Network: Advances in Understanding Abscisic Acid, CO ₂ , and Ca ²⁺ Signaling <i>Tae-Houn Kim, Maik Böhmer, Honghong Hu, Noriyuki Nishimura,</i> <i>and Julian I. Schroeder</i>	561
The Language of Calcium Signaling Antony N. Dodd, Jörg Kudla, and Dale Sanders	593
Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Signaling in Plants Maria Cristina Suarez Rodriguez, Morten Petersen, and John Mundy6	521
Abscisic Acid: Emergence of a Core Signaling Network Sean R. Cutler, Pedro L. Rodriguez, Ruth R. Finkelstein, and Suzanne R. Abrams 6	551
Brassinosteroid Signal Transduction from Receptor Kinases to Transcription Factors <i>Tae-Wuk Kim and Zhi-Yong Wang</i>	581

Directional Gravity S	Sensing in Gravitropism	
Miyo Terao Morita		705

Indexes

Cumulative Index of Contributing Authors, Volumes 51–61	. 721
Cumulative Index of Chapter Titles, Volumes 51–61	. 726

Errata

An online log of corrections to *Annual Review of Plant Biology* articles may be found at http://plant.annualreviews.org